

Mr. PASCRELL. Mr. Speaker, just for a change of pace, today I wish to bring to your attention the end of an era in my hometown of Patterson, New Jersey. Today marks the last day of the tenure of public safety director Bill Dolan, who served in the capacity for 11 years and as a cop for 43 years in the Silk City.

As the former mayor of Patterson, I feel that this occasion should be recorded in the annals of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD. In the day of sunshine friends, let me tell you about a true friend.

Bill Dolan served our Nation in the United States Marine Corps during the Korean conflict. In 1956 he joined the Patterson police department and in 1987 he was appointed public safety director by my predecessor in the mayor's office.

Mr. Speaker, being the top public safety official in a big city is like no other job in government. Director Dolan was responsible for the largest department in Patterson's municipal government and overseeing the police and the fire divisions. During his tenure, Bill Dolan not only modernized his department, but he was at the helm of public safety. It was a big job. He performed it with honor, courage, dignity, and class.

I ask that my colleagues join me, the 150,000 residents of Patterson, and Mayor Martin Barnes and city council members in honoring Bill Dolan and congratulating him on his exemplary service to the people of Patterson and the Garden State.

PARTISAN VERSUS BIPARTISAN

(Mr. PITTS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, consider the charges of partisanship by the liberal spin doctors. Just what does bipartisanship mean to the other side? Does it mean whenever Republicans agree with the Democrats, that is bipartisanship?

Fact: The overwhelming majority of Democrats voted with the Republicans to release materials from the Starr report. Yet the majority of Democrats on the Committee on the Judiciary voted against what the majority of their own caucus, including their leadership, voted. So by this definition, it is the Republicans who are acting in a partisan manner.

Mr. Speaker, this is classic liberal rhetoric, typical of the double standards of liberalism.

Fact: Liberals who assailed Ronald Reagan for 8 years because they disagreed with his policies are the very same people who label anyone who criticizes the President as a Clinton hater. Anyone who disagrees with a liberal is met with shrill accusations of being partisan.

The next time you hear a liberal say "partisan," just remember the Robert Bork or Clarence Thomas hearings.

You will say, thank heavens for HENRY HYDE.

IN HONOR OF CAPTAIN ANTHONY STANCIL

(Mrs. MYRICK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. MYRICK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Captain Anthony Stancil of the Mecklenburg County, North Carolina Sheriff's Office. Last Tuesday, shortly after 1 a.m., Captain Stancil was murdered in cold blood by a shoplifter at the Mallard Creek Harris Theater.

He leaves behind two children and a wife with a baby on the way. He was one of our Nation's best, risking his life day in and day out to preserve the peace and freedom that we so often take for granted.

Our prayers go out to his wife and children. They lost a strong husband and a father. In the last few days the citizens of Charlotte-Mecklenburg have come together to reach out to the family and take care of them in their time of greatest need, but they are going to need our help for longer than just a few weeks. We all need to reach out to Anthony Stancil's fellow law enforcement officers because it has been a tough week for all of them.

I hope we come away from this tragedy with a renewed sense of the debt we owe our local police and with a renewed intolerance for the cruelty of someone who would end the life of one of Charlotte's best citizens.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 4274, DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1999

Mrs. MYRICK. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 564 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 564

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule XXIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 4274) making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and related agencies, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1999, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed 90 minutes equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. Points of order against provisions in the bill for failure to comply with clause 2 or 6 of rule XXI are waived except as follows: beginning with "Provided" on page 41, line 9, through line 19; page 95, line 18, through page 109, line 19. Where points of order are waived against part of a paragraph, points of order

against a provision in another part of such paragraph may be made only against such provision and not against the entire paragraph. The amendments printed in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution may be offered only by a Member designated in the report and only at the appropriate point in the reading of the bill, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment except as specified in the report, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. All points of order against the amendments printed in the report are waived. During consideration of the bill for amendment, the Chairman of the Committee of the Whole may accord priority in recognition on the basis of whether the Member offering an amendment has caused it to be printed in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 6 of rule XXIII. Amendments so printed shall be considered as read. The chairman of the Committee of the Whole may: (1) postpone until a time during further consideration in the Committee of the Whole a request for a recorded vote on any amendment; and (2) reduce to five minutes the minimum time for electronic voting on any postponed question that follows another electronic vote without intervening business, provided that the minimum time for electronic voting on the first in any series of questions shall be 15 minutes. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. CAMP). Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Mrs. MYRICK) is recognized for 1 hour.

Mrs. MYRICK. Mr. Speaker, for purposes of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentlewoman from Fairport, New York (Ms. SLAUGHTER), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume.

(Mrs. MYRICK asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks and to include extraneous material.)

Mrs. MYRICK. All time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

This rule provides for consideration of H.R. 4274, the fiscal year 1999 appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services under an open rule. There will be 90 minutes of general debate, divided equally between the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations.

□ 0915

The rule waives clause 2 and clause 6 of rule XXI against provisions in the bill except as otherwise specified by the rule. The provisions in the bill which are subject to points of order, and they have been authored by both Republicans and Democrats, violate the protocol that legislative provisions included in appropriations bills be sanctioned by the appropriate authorizing committee chairmen.